(12) **United States Patent**

Kuu

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,279,615 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 8, 2016**

(54) **OPTIMIZATION OF NUCLEATION AND CRYSTALLIZATION FOR LYOPHILIZATION USING GAP FREEZING**

(71) Applicants:**BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC.**, Deerfield, IL (US); **BAXTER HEALTHCARE SA**, Glattpark (Opifkon) (CH)

(72) Inventor: **Wei Y. Kuu**, Libertyville, IL (US)

(73) Assignees: **BAXTER INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, Deerfield, IL (US); **BAXTER HEALTHCARE SA**, Glattpark (Opfikon) (CH)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 113 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/158,083**

(22) Filed: **Jan. 17, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0190035 A1 Jul. 10, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 13/246,342, filed on Sep. 27, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,689,460.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/387,295, filed on Sep. 28, 2010.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
F26B 5/06 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *F26B 5/06* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC F26B 5/00; F26B 5/06; F26B 9/00; F26B 11/00; F26B 19/00; F26B 21/00

USPC 34/284, 287, 92; 62/66; 165/185; 206/438

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,270,434	A	9/1966	Hackenberg et al.
4,501,719	A	2/1985	Williams
4,953,299	A	9/1990	Gimeno et al.
5,727,333	A	3/1998	Folan
6,199,297	B1	3/2001	Wisniewski
8,137,444	B2	3/2012	Farsad et al.
8,544,183	B2	10/2013	Kuu et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	2235483	A1	1/1974	
DE	102012110628	A1 *	5/2014	.... F24J 2/268

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Bursac et al., A practical method for resolving the nucleation problem in lyophilization, BioProcess International (Oct. 2009).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Stephen M Gravini

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Marshall, Gerstein & Borun LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

This application discloses devices, articles, and methods useful for producing lyophilized cakes of solutes. The devices and articles provide for a method of freezing liquid solutions of the solute by the top and the bottom of the solution simultaneously. The as frozen solution then provides a lyophilized cake of the solutes with large and uniform pores.

17 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,689,460	B2 *	4/2014	Kuu		F26B 5/06
					165/185
8,966,782	B2 *	3/2015	Kuu		F26B 5/06
					34/287
2001/0023820	A1 *	9/2001	Parent		C23C 14/541
					204/192.1
2005/0086830	A1	4/2005	Zukor et al.		
2007/0186437	A1	8/2007	Gasteyer et al.		
2007/0186567	A1	8/2007	Gasteyer et al.		
2010/0107437	A1	5/2010	Ogata et al.		
2010/0144955	A1 *	6/2010	El-Hibri		C08G 75/23
					524/514
2010/0206721	A1 *	8/2010	Snidvongs		C25B 1/04
					204/229.8
2010/0229725	A1	9/2010	Farsad et al.		
2010/0230830	A1	9/2010	Farsad et al.		
2010/0242301	A1	9/2010	Rampersad et al.		
2011/0154681	A1	6/2011	Kuu et al.		
2011/0154682	A1	6/2011	Kuu et al.		
2012/0020017	A1 *	1/2012	Kehret		G06F 1/183
					361/679.54
2012/0077971	A1	3/2012	Kuu		
2012/0192448	A1 *	8/2012	Kuu		F26B 5/06
					34/287
2013/0059005	A1	3/2013	Turchetta et al.		
2014/0190035	A1 *	7/2014	Kuu		F26B 5/06
					34/284
2015/0184935	A1 *	7/2015	Kuu		F26B 5/06
					34/284
2015/0226480	A1 *	8/2015	Kuu		F26B 5/06
					34/284

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	1452099	A1	9/2004		
FR	2580473	A1	10/1986		
FR	2607129	A1	5/1988		
GB	1129633	A	* 10/1968		F28D 21/00
JP	61234764		10/1986		
JP	06053140	A	* 2/1994		
JP	2003169639		6/2003		
WO	WO-91/07085	A2	5/1991		
WO	WO-03/047368		6/2003		
WO	WO-2012/054194	A1	4/2012		
WO	WO 2012054194	A1 *	4/2012		F26B 5/06
WO	WO 2012054194	A8 *	11/2012		F26B 5/06

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Ho et al., Lyophilization of pharmaceutical injections: theoretical physical model, J. Pharm. Sci., 68(9):1170-4 (1979).

Hottot et al., Experimental study and modeling of freeze-drying in syringe configuration. Part I: Freezing step, Drying Technol., 27:40-8 (2009).

Hottot et al., Experimental study and modeling of freeze-drying in syringe configuration. Part II: Mass and heat transfer parameteres and sublimation end-points, Drying Technol., 27:49-58 (2009).

International Search Report and Written Opinion for corresponding International application No. PCT/US2011/053462, mailing date Feb. 10, 2012.

International Search Report and Written Opinion for international application No. PCT/US2012/030854, mailing date Dec. 13, 2012.

Kasper et al., The freezing step in lyophilization: physico-chemical fundamentals, freezing methods and consequences on process performance and quality attributes of biopharmaceuticals, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 78:248-63 (2011).

Kasraian et al., The effect of tertiary butyl alcohol on the resistance of the dry product layer during primary drying, Pharm. Res., 12(4):491-5 (1995).

Konstantinidis et al., Controlled nucleation in freeze-drying: effects on pore size in the dried product layer, mass transfer resistance, and primary drying rate, J. Pharm. Sci., 100(8):3453-70 (2011).

Kramer et al., Freeze-drying using vacuum-induced surface freezing, J. Pharm. Sci., 91(2):433-43 (2002).

Kuu et al., Determination of shelf heat transfer coefficients along the shelf flow path of a freeze dryer using the shelf fluid temperature perturbation approach, Pharm. Dev. Tec., 12:485-94 (2007).

Kuu et al., Product mass transfer resistance directly determined during freeze-drying cycle runs using tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) and pore diffusion model, Pharm. Dev. Tech., XXX 2010.

Kuu et al., Rapid determination of dry layer mass transfer resistance for various pharmaceutical formulations during primary drying using product temperature profiles, Int. J. Pharm., 313:99-113 (2006).

Kuu et al., Rapid determination of vial heat transfer parameters using tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) in response to step-changes in pressure set-point during freeze-drying, J. Pharm. Sci., 98(3):1136-54 (2009).

Kuu et al., Rapid freeze-drying cycle optimization using computer programs developed based on heat and mass transfer models and facilitated by tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS). J. Pharm. Sci., 98(9):3469-82 (2009).

Liu et al., A study of the impact of freezing on the lyophilization of a concentrated formulation with a high fill depth, Pharm. Dev. Tech., 10:261-72 (2005).

Lu et al., Freeze-drying of mannitol-trehalose-sodium chloride-based formulations: the impact of annealing on dry layer resistance to mass transfer and cake structure, Pharm. Dev. Technol., 9(1):85-95 (2004).

Omega Engineering Inc. website, downloaded from the Internet at: <www.omega.com>.

Patel et al., Freeze-drying in novel container system: characterization of heat and mass transfer in glass syringes, J. Pharm. Sci., 99&7):3188-204 (2010).

Pikal et al., Mass and heat transfer in vial freeze-drying of pharmaceuticals: role of the vial, J. Pharm. Sci., 73:1224-37 (1984).

Pikal et al., Physical chemistry of freeze-drying: measurement of sublimation rates for frozen aqueous solutions by a microbalance technique, J. Pharm. Sci., 72(6):635-50 (1983).

Pikal et al., The impact of the freezing stage in lyophilization: effects of the ice nucleation temperature on process design and product quality, Am. Pharm. Rev., 5:48-52 (2002).

Pikal et al., Use of laboratory data in freeze drying process design: Heat and mass transfer coefficients and the computer simulation of freeze drying, J. Parenteral Sci. and Tech., 39(3):115-38 (1985).

Rambahtla et al., Heat and mass transfer scale-up issues during freeze drying; II. Control and characterization of the degree of supercooling, AAPS Pharm. Sci. Tech., 5(4):Article 58 (2004).

Randolph et al., Freezing and annealing phenomena in lyophilization: effects upon primary drying rate, mophology, and heterogeneity, Am. Pharm. Rev., 5:40-7 (2002).

Schwegman et al., Evidence of partial unfolding of proteins at the ice/freeze-concentrate interface by infrared microscopy, J. Pharm. Sci., 98:3239-46 (2009).

Searles et al., Annealing to optimize the primary drying rate, reduce freezing-induced drying rate heterogenity, and determine TgO in pharmaceutical lyophilization, J. Pharm. Sci., 90:872-87 (2001).

Searles et al., Primary drying rate heterogeneity druing pharmaceutical lyophilization, Am. Pharm. Rev., 3:6-24 (2000).

Searles et al., The ice nucleation temperature determines the primary drying rate of lyophilization for samples frozen on a temperature-controlled shelf, J. Pharm. Sci., 90(7):860-71 (2001).

Searles, Freezing and annealing phenomena in lyophilization IN: Rey et al. (eds.), Freeze-Drying Lyophilization of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc. (2004).

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

FIG. 3

FIG. 4A

106

107

110

108

FIG. 4B

106

107

108

101

FIG. 4C

106

115

114

FIG. 5

FIG. 6

FIG. 7A

FIG. 7B

FIG. 8

Fig. 9

Fig. 10

OPTIMIZATION OF NUCLEATION AND CRYSTALLIZATION FOR LYOPHILIZATION USING GAP FREEZING

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This is a division of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/246,342, filed Sep. 27, 2011, and the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/387,295 filed Sep. 28, 2010, is hereby claimed; the disclosure of both applications is hereby incorporated by reference herein.

FIELD OF DISCLOSURE

This disclosure relates to methods and apparatus used for lyophilizing liquid solutions of solutes. The disclosure provides a method for optimization of the nucleation and crystallization of the liquid solution during freezing to produce lyophilized cakes of the solutes with large, consistent pore sizes. The disclosure additionally provides apparatus for use with the method and lyophilization chambers.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF RELATED TECHNOLOGY

The preservation of materials encompasses a variety of methods. One important method, lyophilization, involves the freeze-drying of solutes. Typically, a solution is are loaded into a lyophilization chamber, the solution is frozen, and the frozen solvent is removed by sublimation under reduced pressure.

One well known issue associated with the lyophilization of materials (e.g., sugars) is the formation of one of more layers of the solute (the dissolved materials) on the top of the frozen solution. In a worse case, the solute forms an amorphous solid that is nearly impermeable and prevents sublimation of the frozen solvent. These layers of concentrated solute can inhibit the sublimation of the frozen solvent and may require use of higher drying temperatures and/or longer drying times.

SUMMARY

One embodiment of the invention is an article adapted for use in a lyophilization chamber comprising a heat sink with a heat sink surface in thermal communication with a refrigerant; a tray surface; and a thermal insulator disposed between the heat sink surface and the tray surface. The article can include a refrigerant conduit in thermal communication with the heat sink surface; a heat sink medium disposed between the refrigerant conduit and the heat sink surface.

The article can have a fixed distance greater than about 0.5 mm separating the heat sink surface and tray surface. The distance can be maintained by a spacer disposed between the heat sink surface and the tray surface, the spacer having a thickness of greater than, for example, about 0.5 mm. The spacer can support a tray carrying the tray surface or the thermal insulator can carry the tray surface.

Another embodiment of the invention is the lyophilization device that includes the article. In this embodiment, the lyophilization device can include a plurality of heat sinks that individually have a heat sink surface in thermal communication with a refrigerant, at least one of said heat sinks being disposed above another to thereby form upper and lower heat sinks; wherein the lower heat sink surface is disposed between the upper and lower heat sinks; a tray surface disposed between the upper heat sink and a lower heat sink

surface; and a thermal insulator disposed between the tray surface and the lower heat sink.

The lyophilization device can have the distance from the heat sink surface to the tray surface fixed by the thermal insulator, the spacer, or a brace affixed to an internal wall of the lyophilization device.

Still another embodiment of the invention is a vial comprising a sealable sample container having top and a bottom and a thermally insulating support affixed to the bottom of the sealable sample container, the thermally insulating support having a thermal conductivity less than about 0.2 W/mK at 25° C. Where the sample container and the insulating support are made of different materials.

Yet another embodiment is a method of lyophilizing a liquid solution using the article, lyophilization device and/or vial described herein. The method includes loading a container comprising a liquid solution into a lyophilization chamber comprising a heat sink; the liquid solution comprising a solute and a solvent and characterized by a top surface and a bottom surface; providing a thermal insulator between the container and the heat sink; lowering the temperature of the heat sink and thereby the ambient temperature in the lyophilization chamber comprising the container to a temperature sufficient to freeze the liquid solution from the top and the bottom surfaces at approximately the same rate and form a frozen solution. The method then includes lyophilizing the frozen solution by reducing the ambient pressure.

The method can include the lyophilization chamber having a plurality of heat sinks and loading the container comprising the liquid solution into the lyophilization chamber between two parallel heat sinks.

A further embodiment of the invention includes a method of freezing a liquid solution for subsequent lyophilization, the liquid comprising top and bottom surfaces and disposed in a container, and the container disposed in a lyophilization chamber comprising a heat sink, the improvement comprising separating the container from direct contact with the heat sink, to thereby freeze the solution from the top and bottom surfaces at approximately the same rate.

Still another embodiment of the invention is a lyophilized cake comprising a substantially dry lyophilized material; and a plurality of pores in the lyophilized material having substantially the same pore size; wherein the lyophilized cake was made by the method disclosed herein. The lyophilized cake can have a pore size that is substantially larger than the pore size of a reference lyophilized cake comprising the same material as the lyophilized cake but made by a method comprising loading a container comprising a liquid solution into a lyophilization chamber comprising a heat sink; the liquid solution comprising the material and a solvent; excluding a thermal insulator between the container and the heat sink; lowering the temperature of the heat sink and thereby the ambient temperature in the lyophilization chamber comprising the container comprising the liquid solution to a temperature sufficient to freeze the liquid solution; freezing the liquid solution; and lyophilizing the frozen solution.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING FIGURES

For a more complete understanding of the disclosure, reference should be made to the following detailed description and accompanying drawing figures wherein:

FIG. 1 is a drawing of the inside of a lyophilization device showing a lyophilization chamber and a plurality of heat sinks in a vertical arrangement;

FIG. 2 is a composite drawing of an article showing an arrangement of a heat sink surface and a tray surface;

FIG. 3 is another composite drawing of an article showing an arrangement of a plurality of heat sinks and the location and separation of the heat sink surface and the tray surface;

FIG. 4 is illustrations of sample containers, here vials, (4a) positioned on a tray, (4b) positioned directly on a thermal insulator, or (4c) combined with a thermally insulating support;

FIG. 5 is a drawing of a sample vial including a liquid solution showing the placement of thermocouples useful for the measurement of the temperatures of the top and the bottom of the solution;

FIG. 6 is a plot of the temperatures of the top and the bottom of a 10 wt. % aqueous sucrose solution frozen using a 3 mm gap between a heat sink surface and a tray (the tray having a thickness of about 1.2 mm) showing a nucleation event, the differences in temperatures between the top and the bottom of the solution, and the reduction in temperature of the top of the solution after the freezing point plateau;

FIG. 7A and FIG. 7B are plots of the water-ice conversion indices for a 5 wt. % aqueous sucrose solution as a function of distance from a heat sink surface to a tray (the tray having a thickness of about 1.2 mm);

FIG. 8 is a plot of the internal temperatures of vials during a primary drying process illustrating the effect of gap-freezing on the product temperature during freeze-drying;

FIG. 9 is a plot of effective pore radii for samples frozen on a 6 mm gapped tray and samples frozen directly on the heat sink surface; and

FIG. 10 is a plot comparing the internal temperature of vials during the primary drying processes illustrating the effect of an increased heat sink temperature on the freeze-drying process.

While the disclosed methods and articles are susceptible of embodiments in various forms, there are illustrated in the examples and figures (and will hereafter be described) specific embodiments of the methods and articles, with the understanding that the disclosure is intended to be illustrative, and is not intended to limit the invention to the specific embodiments described and illustrated herein.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

One well known issue associated with the lyophilization of materials (e.g., sugars) is the formation of one of more layers of the solute (the dissolved materials) on the top of the frozen solution. These layers form during the freezing of the solution because, typically, the solutions are positioned within the lyophilization chamber on a heat sink which rapidly decreases in temperature and causes the solution to freeze from the bottom up. This bottom up freezing pushes the solute in the liquid phase closer to the top of the solution and increases the solute concentration in the still liquid solution. The high concentration of solute can then form a solid mass that can inhibit the flow of gasses therethrough. In a worse case, the solute forms an amorphous solid that is nearly impermeable and prevents sublimation of the frozen solvent. These layers of concentrated solute can inhibit the sublimation of the frozen solvent and may require use of higher drying temperatures and/or longer drying times.

Disclosed herein is an apparatus for and method of freezing a material, e.g., for subsequent lyophilization, that can prevent the formation of these layers and thereby provide efficient sublimation of the frozen solvent.

The lyophilization or freeze drying of solutes is the sublimation of frozen liquids, leaving a non-subliming material as

a resultant product. Herein, the non-subliming material is generally referred to as a solute. A common lyophilization procedure involves loading a lyophilization chamber with a container that contains a liquid solution of at least one solute. The liquid solution is then frozen. After freezing, the pressure in the chamber is reduced sufficiently to sublime the frozen solvent, such as water, from the frozen solution.

The lyophilization device or chamber is adapted for the freeze drying of samples in containers by including at least one tray for supporting the container and means for reducing the pressure in the chamber (e.g., a vacuum pump). Many lyophilization devices and chambers are commercially available.

With reference to FIGS. 1-3, the lyophilization chamber includes a heat sink 101 that facilitates the lowering of the temperature within the chamber. The heat sink 101 includes a heat sink surface 102 that is exposed to the internal volume of the lyophilization chamber and is in thermal communication with a refrigerant 103. The refrigerant 103 can be carried in the heat sink 101 within a refrigerant conduit 104. The refrigerant conduit 104 can carry the heat sink surface 102 or can be in fluid communication with the heat sink surface 102 for example through a heat sink medium 105. The heat sink medium 105 is a thermal conductor, not insulator, and preferably has a thermal conductivity of greater than about 0.25, 0.5, and/or 1 W/mK at 25° C.

According to the novel method described herein, the sample containers 106 do not sit on or in direct thermal conductivity with the heat sink 101. In one embodiment, the sample containers 106 sit on or are carried by a tray surface 107 that is thermally insulated from the heat sink 101. In another embodiment, the sample containers 106 are suspended above the heat sink 101.

The tray surface 107 is thermally insulated from the heat sink 101 by a thermal insulator 108. The thermal insulator 108 has a thermal conductivity of less than about 0.2, less than 0.1, and/or less than 0.05 W/mK at 25° C. The thermal insulator 108 can be a gas, a partial vacuum, a paper, a foam (e.g., a foam having flexibility at cryogenic temperatures), a polymeric material, or a mixture of thereof. The polymeric material can be free of or substantially free of open cells or can be a polymeric foam (e.g., a cured foam). As used herein, the thermal insulator 108 refers to the material, object and/or space that provides thermal insulation from the heat sink 101. Air is still considered a thermal insulator in a method or apparatus wherein the pressure of the air is decreased due to evacuation of the lyophilization chamber.

The level of thermal insulation provided by the thermal insulator 108 can be dependent on the thickness of the thermal insulator 108. This thickness can be measured by the distance 109 from the heat sink surface 102 to the tray surface 107, for example. This distance 109, limited by the internal size of the lyophilization chamber, can be in a range of about 0.5 to about 50 mm, for example. This distance 109 can be optimized for specific lyophilization chamber volumes and preferably is greater than about 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5, 9, 9.5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, or 50 mm. While the distance 109 can be larger than about 10 mm, the volume within the lyophilization device is typically better used by optimizing the distances below about 20 mm. Notably, the distance between the heat sink surface 102 and the tray surface 107 is only limited by the distance between the heat sink surface 102 and the upper heat sink 101 minus the height of a vial 106. The preferred distance 109 can be dependent on the specific model and condition of lyophilization

chamber, heat sink, refrigerant, and the like, and is readily optimized by the person of ordinary skill in view of the present disclosure.

In an embodiment where the tray surface 107 is thermally insulated from the heat sink 101 by a gas, a partial vacuum, or a full vacuum, the tray surface 107 is carried by a tray 110, preferably a rigid tray. Notably, the tray surface 107 can be a thermal insulator (e.g., foamed polyurethane) or a thermal conductor (e.g., stainless steel).

The tray 110 maintains preferably a fixed distance between heat sink surface 102 and the tray surface 107 during freezing. The tray 110 can be spaced from the heat sink surface 102 by a spacer 111 positioned between the tray 110 and the heat sink surface 102 or can be spaced from the heat sink surface 102 by resting on a bracket 112 affixed to an internal surface 113 (e.g., wall) of the lyophilization chamber. In an embodiment where a spacer 111 supports the tray 110, the distance from the heat sink surface 102 to the tray surface 107 is the thickness of the spacer 111 plus the thickness of the tray 110. In agreement with the distances disclosed above, the spacer 111 can have a thickness in a range of about 0.5 mm to about 10 mm, about 1 mm to about 9 mm, about 2 mm to about 8 mm, and/or about 3 mm to about 7 mm, for example. The tray 110 can be carried by one or more spacers 111 placed between the heat sink surface 102 and the tray 110.

In another embodiment, the tray 110 can be carried by a rigid thermal insulator. For example the tray 110 can be a thermal conductor (e.g., stainless steel) and supported by (e.g., resting on) a thermal insulator (e.g., foamed polyurethane). The rigid thermal insulator can be combined with spacers to carry the tray. In agreement with the distances disclosed above, the rigid thermal insulator (with or without the spacer) can have a thickness in a range of about 0.5 mm to about 10 mm, about 1 mm to about 9 mm, about 2 mm to about 8 mm, and/or about 3 mm to about 7 mm, for example.

The lyophilization device can include a plurality of heat sinks 101 that individually have a heat sink surface 102 in thermal communication with a refrigerant 103. In such a lyophilization device, the heat sinks 101 can be disposed vertically in the lyophilization chamber with respect to each other, forming upper and lower heat sinks 101 (see e.g., FIG. 1). By convention, the lower heat sink surface 102 is disposed between the upper and lower heat sinks and the tray surface 107 is disposed between the upper heat sink 101 and the lower heat sink surface 102. In this arrangement, the thermal insulator 108 is disposed between the tray surface 107 and the lower heat sink 101.

In another embodiment, each individual sample container 106 can sit on or be carried by a thermal insulator 108 (see e.g., FIG. 4b). For example, when the sample container is a vial having a top and a bottom there can be a thermally insulating support 114 affixed to the bottom of the vial 115 (see e.g., FIG. 4c). The thermally insulating support 114 can have a thermal conductivity less than about 0.2 W/mK, less than about 0.1 W/mK, and/or less than about 0.05 W/mK at 25° C., for example. In one embodiment, the vial 106 and the insulating support 114 are different materials (e.g., the vial can comprise a glass and the insulating support can comprise a foam or a polymer). The vial can comprise a sealable vial.

Another embodiment of the invention includes a method of freezing a liquid solution for subsequent lyophilization. In one embodiment of the method, the lyophilization chamber as described above is loaded with a liquid solution held in a container that includes a solute (e.g., an active pharmaceutical agent) and a solvent. The liquid solution will have a top surface 116 and a bottom surface, wherein the bottom surface 117 is proximal to the heat sink 101 (see FIG. 5). The con-

tainer is separated from the heat sink 101 by providing a thermal insulator between the container and the heat sink 101, the thermal insulator having the characteristics described herein. Having been loaded into the lyophilization chamber, the liquid solution can be frozen by lowering the temperature of the heat sink 101 and thereby the ambient temperature in the lyophilization chamber. The liquid solution advantageously can be frozen from the top and the bottom surfaces at approximately the same rate to form a frozen solution. A further advantage is that the concurrent water to ice conversion at the top and bottom of the solution avoids problematic freeze-concentration and skin formation observed when the bottom of the solution freezes more rapidly than the top. Once frozen, the liquid solution (now the frozen solution) can be lyophilized to yield a lyophilized cake.

In this embodiment, the thermal insulator provides for the facile freezing of the liquid solution from the top and the bottom within the lyophilization chamber at approximately the same rate. The freezing of the liquid solution from the top and the bottom can be determined by measuring the temperature of the solution during the freezing process. The temperature can be measured by inserting at least two thermocouples into a vial containing the solution. A first thermocouple 118 can be positioned at the bottom of the solution, at about the center of the vial, for example, and a second thermocouple 119 can be positioned at the top of the solution, just below the surface of the solution, in about the center of the vial, for example.

The thermal insulator can further provide a water-ice conversion index between a value of about −2° C. and about 2° C., about −1° C. and about 1° C., and/or about −0.5° C. and about 0.5° C. Preferably, the water-ice conversion index is zero or a positive value. The water-ice conversion index is determined by a method including first plotting the temperatures reported by the thermocouples at the top (T_t) and at the bottom (T_b) of the solution as a function of time. The water-ice conversion index is the area between the curves, in ° C.·minute, between a first nucleation event and the end of water-ice conversion divided by the water-ice conversion time, in minutes. The water-ice conversion time is the time necessary for the temperature at the top (T_t) of the solution to reduce in value below the freezing point plateau for the solution.

The temperature data are collected by loading solution-filled vials into a lyophilization chamber. The lyophilization tray, at t=0 min, is then cooled to about −60° C. The temperature can then be recorded until a time after which the top and the bottom of the solution cool to a temperature below the freezing point plateau.

The areas, positive and negative, are measured from the first nucleation event (observable in the plot of temperatures, e.g., such as in FIG. 6) 122 until both temperature values cool below the freezing point plateau 123. The sum of these areas provides the area between the curves. When calculating the area between the curves, the value is positive when the temperature at the bottom of the vial (T_b) is warmer than the temperature at the top of the vial (T_t) 120 and the value is negative when the temperature at the top of the vial (T_t) is warmer than the temperature at the bottom of the vial (T_b) 121. Preferably, the water-ice conversion index is zero or a positive value. This condition will prevent the consequence that the freezing rate at the bottom of the solution is significantly higher than that at the top of the solution. For a particular solution and container configuration, the cooling rate, temperature of the tray, and the thermal insulator can be optimized to provide an area between the curves at or near 0° C.·minute. For example, FIG. 7A and FIG. 7B show the

water-ice conversion indices for 5 wt. % aqueous solutions of sucrose in vials on a stainless steel tray as a function of the distance from the heat sink surface to the stainless steel tray, with air as a thermal insulator provided by a gap between the heat sink surface and the bottom of the stainless steel tray. The tray had a thickness of about 1.2 mm.

Still another embodiment of the invention is a lyophilized cake made by a method disclosed herein. The lyophilized cake can include a substantially dry lyophilized material and a plurality of pores in the lyophilized material having substantially the same pore size. In one embodiment, the lyophilized cake has a pore size that is substantially larger than the pore size of a reference lyophilized cake comprising the same material as the lyophilized cake but made by a standard lyophilization process (e.g., placing a vial **106** comprising a liquid solution onto a heat sink **101** within a lyophilization chamber, excluding a thermal insulator between the vial and the heat sink **101**, lowering the temperature of the heat sink **101** and thereby freezing the liquid solution, and then lyophilizing the frozen solution). The cross-sectional area of the cylindrical pores of the lyophilized cake is preferably at least 1.1, 2, and/or 3 times greater than the cross-sectional area of the reference lyophilized cake. In another embodiment the lyophilized cake has a substantially consistent pore size throughout the cake.

The size of pores in the lyophilized cake can be measured by a BET surface area analyzer. The effective pore radius (r_e), a measure of the pore size, can be calculated from the measured surface area of the pores (SSA) by assuming cylindrical pores. The effective pore radius r_e can be determined by the equation $r_e = 2\epsilon/SSA \cdot \rho_s \cdot (1-\epsilon)$ where SSA is the surface area of the pores, ϵ is the void volume fraction or porosity ($\epsilon = V_{void}/V_{total} = n \cdot r_e^2/V_{total}$), $(1-\epsilon)$ is the solute concentration in the volume fraction units, and ρ_s is the density of the solid.

EXAMPLES

The following examples are provided to illustrate the invention, but are not intended to limit the scope thereof.

Example 1

Effect of Gap Freezing on Lowering Product Temperature and on Pore Enlargement

The effect of gap freezing on the pore enlargement for a lyophilized 10% aqueous sucrose solution was studied. Multiple 20 mL Schott tubing vials were filled with 7 mL of a 10% aqueous solution of sucrose. These filled vials were placed in a LyoStar II™ (FTS SYSTEMS, INC. Stone Ridge, N.Y.) freeze dryer either directly in contact with a top shelf (heat sink surface) or on a 6 mm gapped tray. See e.g., FIG. **1**. Multiple probed vials were produced by inserting two thermocouples into the solutions, one at the bottom-center of the vial and the other one about 2 mm below the liquid surface. See. FIG. **5**. The filled vials were then lyophilized by the following procedure:

1) the shelf was cooled to 5° C. and held at this temperature for 60 minutes; next

2) the shelf was cooled to –70° C. and held at this temperature for 200 minutes (the internal temperatures of the thermocouple-containing vials were recorded during freezing);

3) after freezing, the 6 mm gapped tray was removed and these vials were placed directly on the bottom shelf (this provided the vials on the top and bottom shelves with the same shelf heat transfer rate during lyophilization, and

thereby a direct comparison of the effect of different freezing methods could be performed); next

4) the lyophilization chamber was evacuated to a set-point of 70 mTorr, and

5) a primary drying cycle, during which time the internal temperatures of the frozen samples were recorded, was started. The primary drying cycle involved (a) holding the samples for 10 minutes at –70° C. and 70 mTorr, then (b) raising the temperature at a rate of 1° C./min to –40° C. while maintaining 70 mTorr, then (c) holding the samples for 60 minutes at –40° C. and 70 mTorr, then (d) raising the temperature at a rate of 0.5° C./min to –25° C. while maintaining 70 mTorr, and then (e) holding the samples for 64 hours at –25° C. and 50 mTorr;

6) a secondary drying followed, and involved raising the temperature at a rate of 0.5° C./min to 30° C. and 100 mTorr, and then holding the samples for 5 hours at 30° C. and 100 mTorr.

The average product temperatures for the frozen samples in vials on the top and bottom (gapped-tray) shelves, during primary drying, are presented in FIG. **8**. It can be seen that the temperature profile of the samples on the bottom shelf is much lower than that of those on the top shelf, which implies that the pore size in the dry layer of the bottom shelf samples is much larger than those on the top shelf, due to the effect of "gap-freezing." Theoretically, the temperatures are different from the set point temperatures due to evaporative cooling and/or the insulative effect of larger pore sizes.

The effective pore radius, r_e, for the individual lyophilized cakes was determined by a pore diffusion model. See Kuu et al. "Product Mass Transfer Resistance Directly Determined During Freeze-Drying Using Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS) and Pore Diffusion Model." *Pharm. Dev. Technol.* (2010) (available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20387998). The results are presented in FIG. **9**, where it can be seen that the pore radius of the cakes on the bottom shelf is much larger than that on the top shelf. The results demonstrate that the 6 mm gapped tray is very effective for pore enlargement.

Example 2

Acceleration of Drying Rate for Gapped Tray by Raising the Shelf Temperature

An alternative lyophilization procedure was developed to increase the rate of freeze-drying and through-put for the currently disclosed method. Samples of the solutions prepared in Example 1 were placed on a 6 mm gap tray and lyophilized on the tray according to the following procedure:

1) the shelf was cooled to 5° C. and held at this temperature for 60 minutes; next

2) the shelf was cooled to –70° C. and held at this temperature for 70 minutes (the internal temperatures of the thermocouple-containing vials were recorded during freezing);

3) the shelf was then warmed to –50° C. and held at this temperature for 100 minutes; next

4) the lyophilization chamber was evacuated to a set-point of 50 mTorr, and

5) a primary drying cycle, during which time the internal temperatures of the frozen samples were recorded, was started. The primary drying cycle involved (a) holding the samples for 10 minutes at –50° C. and 50 mTorr, then (b) raising the temperature at a rate of 1° C./min to –40° C. while maintaining 50 mTorr, then (c) holding the samples for 60 minutes at –40° C. and 50 mTorr, then (d) raising the tem-

perature at a rate of 0.5° C./min to −5° C. while maintaining 50 mTorr, and then (e) holding the samples for 40 hours at −5° C. and 50 mTorr;

6) a secondary drying followed, and involved raising the temperature at a rate of 0.5° C./min to 35° C. and 100 mTorr, and then holding the samples for 7 hours at 35° C. and 100 mTorr.

FIG. **10** shows the average product temperature profile for the gap-frozen samples in example 1 and example 2. The two profiles indicate that when the shelf temperature is raised to −5° C. from −25° C., the drying rate is higher. This indicates that the heat transfer rate from the bottom shelf to the vials on the gapped tray can be easily accelerated by raising the shelf temperature. The new heat transfer coefficient of the gapped tray, K_s, can be determined and an optimized cycle can be quickly obtained, balancing both the optimal shelf temperature and chamber pressure.

The foregoing description is given for clearness of understanding only, and no unnecessary limitations should be understood therefrom, as modifications within the scope of the invention may be apparent to those having ordinary skill in the art.

What is claimed:

1. A lyophilization device comprising:

a plurality of heat sinks that individually have a heat sink surface in thermal communication with a refrigerant, at least one of said heat sinks being disposed above another to thereby form upper and lower heat sinks; wherein the lower heat sink surface is disposed between the upper and lower heat sinks;

a tray carrying a tray surface disposed between the upper heat sink and a lower heat sink surface; and

a thermal insulator formed extending between the container on the tray and the lower heat sink, wherein the thermal insulator is a gas having a thermal conductivity of less than about 0.2 W/mK at 25° C.

2. The article of claim **1**, wherein the heat sink comprises a refrigerant conduit in thermal communication with the heat sink surface.

3. The article of claim **2**, wherein the heat sink further comprises a heat sink medium disposed between the refrigerant conduit and the heat sink surface.

4. The article of claim **1**, wherein the heat sink surface and tray surface—are separated by a fixed distance of greater than about 0.5 mm.

5. The article of claim **1** further comprising a spacer disposed between the heat sink surface and the tray surface.

6. The article of claim **5**, wherein the spacer has a thickness of greater than about 0.5 mm.

7. The article of claim **1**, wherein the tray carrying the tray surface is supported by a brace affixed to an internal wall of the lyophilization device.

8. The article of claim **1**, wherein the thermal insulator is air having a pressure below atmospheric pressure.

9. The article of claim **1**, comprising a plurality of said upper and lower heat sink pairs with interdisposed trays.

10. The article of claim **1**, further comprising a container disposed on the tray.

11. The article of claim **10**, wherein the container comprises a vial.

12. The article of claim **10**, wherein the container holds a liquid solution.

13. The article of claim **12**, wherein the liquid solution comprises a sugar.

14. The article of claim **1**, wherein the thermal insulator has a thermal conductivity of less than about 0.1 W/mK at 25° C.

15. The article of claim **14**, wherein the thermal insulator has a thermal conductivity of less than about 0.05 W/mK at 25° C.

16. The article of claim **1**, wherein the heat sink surface and tray surface are separated by a fixed distance in a range of about 0.5 mm to about 50 mm.

17. The article of claim **1**, wherein the heat sink surface and tray surface are separated by a fixed distance in a range of about 0.5 mm to about 10 mm.

* * * * *